


Further, the Examiner has required that Applicants provide “one specific structure with no ambiguity regarding substituents and/or positional isomers.”

ELECTION

Applicants submit that the chemical structure shown below exemplifies the particular species elected in the Response filed on June 2, 2008:



The above chemical structure is shown in Example 82 on page 97 of the present specification.

Further, Applicants note that the pyridinyl group of HAR is not substituted with R8. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that a particular species selection for R8 is not required by the elected compound.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully request the Examiner to examine claims 1-24, 26 and 29-31.

If the Examiner has any questions or wishes to discuss this matter, the Examiner is welcomed to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

THE NATH LAW GROUP


Date: October 1, 2008

THE NATH LAW GROUP
112 South West Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (703) 548-6284

Facsimile: (703) 683-8396

JBG/SMM\few\Resp_Notice_nonresp_amend.doc



Gary M. Nath
Reg. No. 26,965
Sheldon M. McGee
Reg. No. 50,454
Customer No. 34375